

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.C. Box 1450 Artegnadria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.hspto.gov

			~		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,253	11/19/2003	Zachary Steven Smith	200209693-1	7763	
22879	7590 09/01/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY			GUYTON, PHILIP A		
P O BOX 2	72400, 3404 E. HARMO	NY ROAD			
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION ART			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT COL	LINS, CO 80527-2400		2113	-	
			DATE MAIL ED: 00/01/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/717,253	SMITH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Philip Guyton	2113				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) M tatute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	19 November 2003.					
	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte</i> Q <i>uayle</i> , 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the applica	ition.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-33</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,14,19,22 and 25</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-13,15-18,20,21,23,24,26,27</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 November 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docur						
2. Certified copies of the priority docur			04			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	en received in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies if	ot received.				
Attachmont/s)						
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intervie	w Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946	Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	B/08) 5)	of Informal Patent Application (PTC)-152)			
	-, <u>-</u>					

Application/Control Number: 10/717,253 Page 2

Art Unit: 2113

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because RTL simulator appears as item 12 in figure 1 and item 514 in figure 5. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 14, 19, 22, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,928,334 to Mandyam et al. (hereinafter Mandyam).

With respect to claim 1, Mandyam discloses a method for verifying lockstep operation, the method comprising:

monitoring interface signals (column 3, lines 15-16);

detecting output of a modeled lockstep block (figure 1, actual data collected from hardware under test and column 3, lines 15-16);

comparing the detected output with an expected output for the lockstep block relative to a current modeled machine state (column 3, lines 13-16); and

flagging a lockstep block error if the detected output does not match the expected output (column 3, lines 16-17).

Claim 14 is a system including means for performing the method of claim 1, and is rejected under the same rationale.

With respect to claim 19, Mandyam discloses means for comparing data values for a healthy core with the output of the modeled lockstep block (column 3, lines 6-17).

Claim 22 is a lockstep block checker including logic for performing the method of claim 1, and is rejected under the same rationale.

With respect to claim 25, Mandyam discloses logic configured to compare data values for a healthy core with the output of the modeled lockstep block (column 3, lines 6-17).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 2-13, 15-18, 20, 21, 23, 24, 26, and 27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Claims 28-33 are allowed.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The elements of independent claim 28 were not found through a search of the prior art, nor were they considered obvious by the examiner. In particular, the prior art of record does not teach or suggest "memory including a lockstep block checker and a register transfer language simulator that models processor cores and a lockstep block, wherein the checker is configured to monitor an interface of the simulator for interface signals output by the modeled processor cores and the modeled lockstep block, determine an expected output from the modeled lockstep block, compare output from

Application/Control Number: 10/717,253 Page 5

Art Unit: 2113

the modeled lockstep block with the expected output, and flag a lockstep block error if the detected output does not match the expected output."

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Guyton whose telephone number is (571) 272-3807. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (571) 272-3645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,253 Page 6

Art Unit: 2113

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PG 8/24/06

Rowald Sand Laff

DOBLE BANDONEL

EUPAMSORY PATENT EXAMMER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100